# Pupil premium strategy / self-evaluation (primary, middle)

1. Summary information							
School	St Winefr	t Winefride's					
Academic Year	2019-20	Total PP budget	£41,680	Date of most recent PP Review			
Total number of pupils	154	Number of pupils eligible for PP	29	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Dec 19		

2. Current attainment		
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP
Attainment as of July 2019		
% KS2 Outcomes pupils achieving expected standard or above in reading, writing & maths	33 (1/3)	64
% All pupils Y2 (SATs) achieving expected standard or above in reading, writing & maths	0	64
% All pupils Y1 phonics achieving expected standard	67 (2/3)	88
% All pupils EYFS achieving GLD	75 (3/4)	67
KS2 Progress from KS1 (Y6 in July 2019):		
% making expected progress in reading (24/25 pupils)	33 (1/3)	67
% making expected progress in writing (24/25 pupils)	33 (1/3)	68
% making expected progress in mathematics (24/25 pupils)	33 (1/3)	67
All pupils year groups 2,3,4,5,6		
% making expected progress in reading (as measured in the school) & ave points prog	74 & 6.2	71 & 6.3
% making expected progress in writing (as measured in the school) & ave points prog	57 & 5.6	76 & 6.5
% making expected progress in mathematics (as measured in the school) & ave points prog	65 & 6.1	72 & 6.6

Academic barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)							
Α.	Progress in writing significantly below non pp pupils not converting progress in reading into writing						
В.	Problem solving						
C.	Lack of resilience, independence and learning strategies eg dependence o	n adult support (37% of PP pupils also SEND)					
Addit	ional barriers (including issues which also require action outside school, such	h as low attendance rates)					
D.	Attendance						
3. I	ntended outcomes (specific outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria					
Α.	Raise percentage of PP pupils achieving expected progress in writing	% of PP pupils making expected progress within 10%points of PP reading					
В.	Confidence in using problem solving strategies in maths to improve	% of PP pupils making expected progress within 10%points of non-PP pupils					
C.	Increase fine-motor skills and quality of handwriting to increase ease of writing and writing stamina	Year group handwriting targets achieved by 90% of PP pupils					
D.	Increased confidence, resilience and attitude to work and school. Route to Resilience programme to start Sept 2019	Pupils express more confidence & teachers report greater independence					

4. Review o	f expenditure					
Previous Academic Year		2018-19				
i. Quality of teaching for all						
Action	Intended outcome	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost		

Pupils to make at least expected progress	Drop from 2017-18 where PP outperformed those non PP pupils at the end of KS2, however only 3 pupils in 2019 (2 with SEND)	Standards of teaching were high in y6, Y2 and EYFS and this is anticipated to continue into 2019-20	£17,500
port			
Intended outcome	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Individual pupils esp at KS1 to make develop reading, writing & maths skilis	1:1 support for pupils in KS1 Good progress in phonics: 2018 y1 phonics scores: Pupil A 1-32, Pupil B 0-23, Pupil C 0-25	Individual support is expensive but showed real impact on skills and also confidence of pupils with greater ability to apply this into their class work. This will continue to some degree (funds	2 terms £4,588
Support for emotional needs to have happier children who are ready to learn	Individual & group support for emotional and social learning & behaviour support as needed. ELSA group made on average 6.5 points progress compared with non ELSA pupils average progress of 6.2	ELSA support will continue 2019-20. These pupils' progress will continue to be tracked	£12,254
	at least expected progress Dort Intended outcome Individual pupils esp at KS1 to make develop reading, writing & maths skilis Support for emotional needs to have happier children who are	at least expected progressoutperformed those non PP pupils at the end of KS2, however only 3 pupils in 2019 (2 with SEND)DoortEstimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).Individual pupils esp at KS1 to make develop reading, writing & maths skilis1:1 support for pupils in KS1 Good progress in phonics: 2018 y1 phonics scores: Pupil A 1-32, Pupil B 0-23, Pupil C 0-25Support for emotional needs to have happier children who are ready to learnIndividual & group support for emotional and social learning & behaviour support as needed. ELSA group made on average 6.5 points progress compared with non ELSA pupils	at least expected progressoutperformed those non PP pupils at the end of KS2, however only 3 pupils in 2019 (2 with SEND)and EYFS and this is anticipated to continue into 2019-20Intended outcomeEstimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)Individual pupils esp at KS1 to make develop reading, writing & maths skilis1:1 support for pupils in KS1 Good progress in phonics: 2018 y1 phonics scores: Pupil A 1-32, Pupil B 0-23, Pupil C 0-25Individual support is expensive but showed real impact on skills and also confidence of pupils with greater ability to apply this into their class work. This will continue to some degree (funds)Support for emotional needs to have happier children who are ready to learnIndividual & group support for emotional and social learning & behaviour support as needed.ELSA group made on average 6.5 points progress compared with non ELSA pupils

Action	Intended outcome	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
100% Funding for breakfast club	Supporting parents, encouraging good attendance, supporting pupil nutrition & well being. Encouraging parents to claim PP	66% of pupils made use of the breakfast club, much higher percentage than non PP	Will continue this approach	£4,779
£50 uniform allowance	Supporting parents, ensuring PP pupils have correct uniform Encouraging parents to claim PP	42% of pupils' parents took advantage of this allowance	Will continue this approach	£626

Subsidising clubs & events in school inc music lessons	Supporting parents Encouraging parents to claim PP Widening pupil skills & opportunities	97% of pupils' parents took advantage of this Will continue this approach			£1,194 events £97 music lessons In school clubs £176 Total £1467		
Subsidising clubs & events out of school	Supporting parents Widening pupil skills & opportunities, particularly sporting, musical and community uniformed organisations eg Brownies	15% of pupils benefitted from	m this	Low take up of funding so will continue and encourage parents to take pupils to other activities out of school			£395
5. Planned expen	diture						1
Academic year	2019-20 Prec	licted budget: £41,680					
•	t whole school strategie	trate how you are using the Pu es	pil Premiu	im to improve classro	om pedagogy	/, provide ta	rgeted
-	-		1		1	1	
Action		Vhat is the evidence and ationale for this choice?		I you ensure it is ented well?	Staff lead	When will implemen	you review tation?

Quality First	Avoid split	From EEF toolkit for PP	Regular monitoring of	HT &	Review of CPD – Dec
Teaching for all	classes	Spending on improving	teaching and learning	Deputy HT	2019 in preparation for
Each year group	Increase pupil/	teaching might include	(weekly)		finalising Lent term
to have a teacher	teacher ratio	professional development,		AM	programme
		training and support for early	Regular (Half termly)	Donaldson	
		career teachers and	tracking of pupil progress	(KS1 &	Review of T&L termly
	Quality CPD	recruitment and retention.		EYFS	
	including internal	Ensuring an effective teacher	Regular scrutiny of pupils'	lead)	Review of phonics
Teacher	CPD and from	is in front of every class, and	work (weekly)		(termly by KS1 lead)
awareness of PP	LCTSA	that every teacher is supported			
targets & school		to keep improving, is the key	Regular pupil interviews to		
strategies &		ingredient of a successful	gain pupil views (termly)		
effective teaching		school and should rightly be			
		the top priority for Pupil	Oversight by CMAT (termly)		
		Premium spending.			
		EEF ratings			
		Reducing class sizes +3			
		(moderate impact for high cost)			
	Quality Feedback				
	day to day for	Feedback EEF +8 (High			
	each child	impact for very low cost)			
	Quality phonics	Phonics EEF +4 (moderate			
	teaching using	impact for very low cost) – inc			
	КТС	costs for training			

		Self regulation EEF Toolkit +7 (high impact for very low cost)	Monitoring by HT & Chair of Governors	HT & Deputy HT	Programme has inbuilt review times
			Total bu	dgeted cost	20,000
ii. Targeted su		1	I	1	1
Action Figs are for allocated proportion	Intended outcome	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
DS £3,000	All KS1 to leave confident readers	EEF 1:1 tuition +5 (moderate impact for high cost)Regular monitoring (fortnightly) by InclusionEEF Small group +4 (Moderate impact for moderate cost)manager & Senco		D Sutton	January 2020
ELSA £5730	Emotional support for pupils	1:1 support for pupils & families Group support	External supervision (ELSA programme) Monitoring by HT & Deputy HT	N Whitmore	January 2020

BC £5,950	Catch up for KS2 readers. Support for in class Behaviour & emotional strategies	Individual support inc reading in KS2, behaviour and attitudes	Regular monitoring (fortnightly) by Inclusion manager & Senco	B Cooke	January 2020
			Total bu	dgeted cost	£14,680
iii. Other appro	aches	1	Τ	1	
Action	Intended outcome	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Support for parents for inclusion and community	Wider opportunities for sport & music etc	Ensures that all pupils have access to all opportunities offered by school Encourages parents to let school they may be eligible for PP	Scrutiny by Governors & HT Regular publicising so that parents know of availability	HT	Dec 2019 July 2020
Uniform	To ensure all pupils have correct uniform		Scrutiny by Governors & HT Regular publicising so that parents know of availability	HT	Dec 2019 July 2020
Breakfast club	To encourage good attendance, support working parents & ensure pupils ready for learning	High take-up in previous years show this is valued by parents.	Scrutiny by Governors & HT Regular publicising so that parents know of availability	HT	Dec 2019 July 2020

	Total budgeted cost	£7,000
6. Additional detail		

# Pupil premium strategy / self- evaluation (secondary)

1. Sur	1. Summary information								
School									
Acaden	nic Year		Total PP budget		Date of most recent PP Review				
Total n	umber of pupils		Number of pupils eligible for PP		Date for next in	ternal review of this strategy			
2. Cur	2. Current attainment								
				s eligible for PP /our school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (na average)	itional			
Progres	ss 8 score averag	е							
Attainm	nent 8 score avera	ige							
3. Bar	riers to future atta	ainment (	for pupils eligible for PP)						
Academ	<b>nic barriers</b> (issue	s to be ad	ddressed in school, such as poor litera	ncy skills)					
Α.									
В.									
С.									
Additio	nal barriers (inclue	ding issue	es which also require action outside so	chool, such	n as low attendanc	e rates)			
D.									
4. Intended outcomes (specific outcomes and how they will be measure			ed)		Success criteria				
Α.									
В.									
С.									

<b>D</b> .	

ademic year					
	adings enable you to demon support whole school strateg	strate how you are using the P ies.	upil Premium to improve class	room pedagog	y, provide targeted
i. Quality of	teaching for all				
Action	Intended outcome	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you review
		rationale for this choice?	implemented well?		implementation?
			Total b	oudgeted cost	
ii. Targeted	support				
Action	Intended outcome	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you review
		rationale for this choice?	implemented well?		implementation?
		<u> </u>	Total b	udgeted cost	:
iii. Other app	roaches				
Action	Intended outcome	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you review
		rationale for this choice?	implemented well?		implementation?

		Total b	udgeted cost	

6. Review o	of expenditure			
Previous Ac	ademic Year			
iv. Quality o	of teaching for all			
Action	Intended outcome	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
v. Targeted				
Action	Intended outcome	Estimated impact: Did you meet the	Lessons learned	Cost
Action		success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	COST
vi. Other ap	proaches			
Action	Intended outcome	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

## 7. Additional detail

# Pupil premium strategy / self-evaluation (SEN schools)

1. Summary information	ation					
School				Type of SEN (eg.PM	1LD/SLD/MLD etc.)	
Academic Year		Total PP budget		Date of most recent	PP Review	
Total number of pupils		Number of pupils eligible for PP		Date for next internal review of this strategy		
2. Current attainme	ent					
			Pu	pils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible fo (national average	
% achieving UQ targ	jets in commun	lication				
% achieving UQ targ	jets in maths					
% progress specific	to school setti	ng				
3. Barriers to future	e attainment (fo	or pupils eligible for PP)				
In-school barriers						
Α.						
В.						
С.						
External barriers						
D.						

4. Inte	ended outcomes (specific outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
Α.		
В.		

С.						
D.						
5. Pla	anned expenditure	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Acade	mic year					
	adings enable you strategies.	to show how yo	u are using pupil premium to improve cla	assroom pedagogy, provide	e targeted :	support and support whole
iv. Quality of teaching for all						
Action		Intended outcome	What is the evidence & rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
				Total budg	eted cost	
v. Ta	rgeted support				T	
Action		Intended outcome	What is the evidence & rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
				Total budg	eted cost	
vi. Ot	her approaches (ir	ncludin <u>g</u> links	to personal, social and emotional wel	lbeing)	1	
Action	I	Intended outcome	What is the evidence & rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?

Total budgeted cost					

Previous Academic Year									
Previo	us Academic Year								
vii. Quality of	f teaching for all								
Action	Intended outcome	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost					
viii. Targeted	support		1						
·		Estimated impact: Did you meet the	Lessons learned	Cost					
Action	Intended outcome	success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	(and whether you will continue with this approach)						
Action		success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if	(and whether you will continue with this						
Action		success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if	(and whether you will continue with this						

Action	Intended outcome	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	<b>Lessons learned</b> (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

### 7. Additional detail

